

MEMORANDUM OF DEFENDANTS TANAKA AND VILAR IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION TO DISMISS  
SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I THE U.S. LAWS DO NOT REACH THE “FRAUDS” IN THIS CASE.....	2
II ATGF INVESTOR FRAUD IS INADEQUATELY PLED (AND THERE WAS NO FRAUD) .....	8
III NO REMEDIES ARE APPROPRIATE IN THIS ACTION .....	10
IT IS AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION TO HAVE ALLOWED THIS AMENDED COMPLAINT; FURTHER, THE “NEW” ALLEGATIONS (PERHAPS APART FROM MORRISON –RELATED “FACTS” CONCERNING U.S. “CONDUCT”, THOUGH IT IS NOT CONTROLLING) DO NOT RELATE BACK AND ARE BARRED BY THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS .....	10
COCKAMAMIE ALLEGATIONS ABOUT “COMMINGLING” AND ACTING AS AN “ENTERPRISE”: A TOO-LATE AND IMPROPER ATTEMPT TO GRAB POWER OVER OFFSHORE OPERATIONS..	13
THE SEC IS VIOLATING DEFENDANTS’ FIFTH AND EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS BY CARRYING ON THIS CASE.....	18
DOUBLE JEOPARDY .....	21
NO SEC PENALTIES OR OTHER EQUITABLE FORMS OF RELIEF ARE APPROPRIATE IN THIS ACTION ....	23
NO SEC PENALTIES OR OTHER EQUITABLE FORMS OF RELIEF ARE APPROPRIATE IN THIS ACTION	
THE SEC’S CLAIM FOR DISGORGEMENT SHOULD BE DISMISSED: THE SEC HAS FAILED TO ALLEGE THAT DEFENDANTS WERE UNJUSTLY ENRICHED; AND, TO THE EXTENT THAT THE SEC SEEKS DUPLICATION OF ANY AMOUNT AWARDED AS RESTITUTION IN THE CRIMINAL CASE, THE REQUEST VIOLATES DOUBLE JEOPARDY ...	25

THE SEC HAS NOT ADEQUATELY PLED A BASIS FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF .....	27
THE REQUEST FOR PENALTIES BASED ON ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SECURITIES LAWS OCCURRING FIVE YEARS PRIOR TO THE FILING OF THE COMPLAINT IS BARRED BY THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS .....	30
THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT ALSO VIOLATES F.R.C.P. 8 .....	30
CONCLUSION .....	32